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I. INTRODUCTION

This is the world's greatest law review article. It is bold, brash piece, unashamed to proclaim: "Yes, I am nontraditional scholarship. What about it?" Looking for a sound thesis? Hah! Child's play. Try a great plot, crammed with suspense, romance and thousands of potboiling footnotes.

And yet, perhaps the paramount beauty of the work is that, despite being light-years ahead of the competition, it never strays too far from its roots. In other words, it is nontraditional but in a classic, traditional, bet-hedging sort of way. We're talking about an article that: rethinks practically on automatic pilot, drives a hundred miles an hour toward a model of important stuff, is subject to spontaneous deconstruction, tosses the word hermeneutics around like a walk on the beach, puts past in front of (and sometimes behind) at least one word on every page, and, best of all, will take a thumaturge to figure out.

[Unbelievable amounts of really great material are omitted here.]

***

CLXIII. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, I am confident that legal academicians everywhere will agree, probably unanimously, that the only important thing lacking in The World's Greatest Law Review Article is a colon in the title, but that is only because the author is beyond caring about such things, way beyond.

tune that no one will have a clue what it means anyway, which will naturally lead to the assumption that it's a brilliant piece.

Of course, there's law and then there's scripture. The reader should disregard anything herein that even remotely conflicts with The Bluebook: The Bluebook: A Uniform System of Citation (15th ed. 1991). The Bluebook, widely misunderstood, was originally an English translation of the Ta O Tung, a book of Eastern philosophy written 26 centuries ago. Interview with Lao-tsou, "Larry King Live!" (A Dream I Had, Mar. 29, 1995). Taoism advocates a life of complete simplicity. Somewhere along the way, some Ivy League law students got hold of this great work and... well, let's just say they have at times lost sight of the original purpose.

However, even today The Bluebook remains a source of great, spiritual comfort in troubled times. Just recently, for example, my significant other dumped me for a professor from a higher echelon law school who regularly publishes in the better journals. I became completely distraught, to the point where, I am not to admit, I was a danger to myself. However, The Bluebook proved to be my guiding light. Now, instead of contemplating jumping in front of trains, I meditate about the intricate rules for abbreviating railroads. See The Bluebook, supra, § 10.2.2(b), at 61 (PLEASE! Just see subsection (b)), do not even think about looking at either subsection (a) or subsection (b) because that might be construed as seeing generally, which would require me to add one of these annoying explanatory parentheticals.

I'm taking a rest here, but I promise to compensate for it by making the next footnote extra-long and monotonous.

"Article" is the one and only perfect word to describe what I'm trying to accomplish here, unless, of course, a student law review editor thinks a different word would be better, in which case I shall gladly defer to his superior wisdom.

From now on, I will use exclusively feminine pronouns, even for inanimate objects, to show I'm really with it, or I should say, with her.

Pretty cool italics, huh?

One of the truest measures of great legal scholarship is using words that no one understands. To assure that the terminology in this article meets this high standard, I made a lot of it up.
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